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Northern California Power Agency (NCPA) appreciates the opportunity to submit the following comments/questions in response to SCE’s first Transmission Maintenance and Compliance Review (TMCR) stakeholder Meeting:

1. NCPA feels projects that require an in-service date of less than two years after their need has been identified should not be exempt from the TMCR process. NCPA suggest project budget/yearly forecast be presented from project’s start year to the project’s completion/operational year. NCPA is concerned and believes the exemption does not provide for an open and transparent process.

2. While SCE provides a methodology for determining which assets require maintenance, SCE only provides limited information to show how the methodology links/is used to determine the specific maintenance projects. NCPA would like to better understand how projects are chosen and the prioritization process. More information is needed, for example: project start date, purpose of the project, alternatives considered, types of analysis/inspections performed including results, PUC fire threat zone, ISO planning area, age of the asset, and the how the budget was determined.

3. In general, the information about the specific projects does not allow NCPA to be able to evaluate whether the projects are consistent with SCE’s methodology, whether they are the best projects to perform within a given time period and whether the cost estimates are reasonable.