

Southern California Edison
R.18-10-007 – SB 901

DATA REQUEST SET T U R N - S C E - 0 0 2

To: TURN
Prepared by: Ryan Stevenson
Job Title: Senior Advisor
Received Date: 2/8/2019

Response Date: 2/22/2019

Question 03: 3. For all items in the Table in Section 7 that have funding listed as “2018 GRC and/or 2021 GRC,” please provide additional columns that show:

- a. The amount in capital requested in the 2018 GRC for 2018-2020;
- b. The expense requested in the 2018 GRC
- c. The amount in capital that may be requested in the 2021 GRC;
- d. The amount in expense that may be requested in the 2021 GRC.

Response to Question 03:

Capital and expense forecasts for the 2021 GRC will be available when SCE files its 2021 GRC. With respect to the 2018 GRC, although the cost table in Chapter 7 refers to that proceeding as a potential source of funding, the listed activities are not addressed in the 2018 GRC—which was filed in September 2016. As explained in Chapter 1, depending on the as-yet unknown outcome in the 2018 GRC, SCE anticipates that it may exercise management discretion to prudently and reasonably prioritize the work ahead and redeploy funding that will be authorized in the 2018 GRC to mitigate emergent and higher-level risks such as wildfires. This would have been true regardless of the timing of a GRC decision. But given that SCE still does not have a 2018 GRC decision, SCE cannot quantify how much WMP-related 2019 spend will be “incremental” to funding authorized in the 2018 GRC, which in turn makes it impossible to know the incremental 2019 WMP-related costs for which SCE would then seek recovery in the 2021 GRC. SCE also notes that Activity Identifier AT-1 (Alternative Technology Pilots) describing the forecast costs as currently reflected in the pending 2018 GRC is an error and should have stated “Cross-organization labor costs included in 2018 GRC Pending (labor costs not included in cost estimate)” similar to the description in AT-3.