# REC 5 RECONNAISSANCE STREAM CORRIDOR RECREATION ASSESSMENT

## 1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A stream corridor study was conducted to identify and characterize existing and potential recreational opportunities in stream corridors. Stream corridor data for the study was obtained through agency consultation, review of existing literature, and through the implementation of a recreational use survey conducted during the summer of 2002 which collected stream corridor use data and experiential data from visitors recreating in the study area stream corridors.

The summer recreation use survey obtained data from 2,019 respondents of which 655 respondents (32.4%) provided stream corridor use information. Survey results indicate the following:

- The three most frequently mentioned activities were hiking/walking (423 responses), followed closely by fishing (386 responses) and swimming/wading/water play (371 responses). There were very few responses for resource consumptive activities such as gold panning (9 responses) or rock collecting (36 responses).
- The most popular stream corridors to recreate in are the South Fork San Joaquin River (SFSJR) near Jackass Meadows and near Mono Hot Springs, and along Mono Creek below Lake Edison.
- The experiential factors associated with the stream corridor recreation were rated by respondents from neutral to moderately acceptable.
- More than 53% of survey respondents (350) responded "no" to a question about whether stream levels affected enjoyment of their recreation activity.
- Trail access and vehicle access to the stream corridors both received a moderately acceptable rating by survey respondents.

## 2.0 STUDY OBJECTIVE(S)

Identify and characterize potential recreational opportunities in stream corridors and factors (i.e., instream flow and access) influencing these opportunities.

## 3.0 STUDY IMPLEMENTATION

## 3.1 STUDY ELEMENTS COMPLETED

• Identified existing and potential recreational activities associated with Project vicinity stream corridors.

- Identified and characterized access to Project vicinity waters (i.e., modified access from road closures).
- Determined physical and flow-related conditions required to support identified recreational activities.

### 3.2 OUTSTANDING STUDY ELEMENTS

 Identify factors limiting stream corridor recreation opportunities related to Project operation and maintenance activities.

### 4.0 STUDY METHODOLOGY

Existing and potential recreational activities along study area stream corridors were identified and the associated experiential data was obtained by reviewing existing literature, through consultation with the United States Forest Service (USDA-FS), California Department of Fish & Game (CDFG) and local user groups, and from the results of a summer recreation use survey conducted in 2002.

Guidebooks on camping and fishing were consulted and several websites were reviewed that described fishing, backpacking, and hiking in the study area. USDA-FS and CDFG personnel were consulted regarding the types and levels of recreation activity observed along the stream corridors (Whelan pers. comm.; Means pers. comm.).

Recreation use data information on dispersed recreation activities within stream corridors was also obtained through implementation of a self-census survey and an active (roving) census user survey. The surveys were conducted to collect data on the experiential value associated with the stream corridor recreation, including safety concerns, as well as physical data on the access points to stream corridors, types of uses, timing (seasonality) of use, and destination locations within stream corridors (Figures REC 5-1a through d). Active surveys were conducted along the following stream corridors: (1) South Fork San Joaquin River below Florence Lake; (2) South Fork San Joaquin River near Mono Hot Springs; (3) Mono Creek between Vermilion Valley Dam and Mono Creek Diversion; (4) the Portal Power Plant tailrace; (5) Stevenson Creek below Shaver Lake Dam; and (6) San Joaquin River below Mammoth Pool Powerhouse. These surveys were performed in coordination with recreation study plans REC 8, Angling Opportunities and Experience Assessment and REC 10 and REC 17 Recreation (and Dispersed Recreation) Opportunities and Needs Assessment. Complete results of the recreation use surveys can be reviewed in the REC 8 and REC 10 and REC 17 technical study reports contained in the 2002 Technical Study Report Package (SCE 2003).

The survey questionnaire asked respondents to: (1) identify the activities they engaged in while recreating in the stream corridor; (2) list the stream corridors they recreated in; (3) rate their satisfaction with recreational factors in the stream corridor; and (4) note if their satisfaction was affected by other activities taking place in the stream corridor. Respondents were asked to rate their satisfaction on recreation factors such as vehicle and trail access, availability of developed recreation facilities, drinking water availability, trash disposal, restroom availability and cleanliness, number of people encountered, and adequacy of interpretive information on the area. Satisfaction or adequacy of recreation factors were rated on a five-point scale format that ranged from highly unacceptable (-2); moderately unacceptable (-1); neutral (0); moderately acceptable (1); and highly acceptable (2). A copy of the recreation use survey instrument/questionnaire is provided in Appendix A.

Analysis of the survey results was completed by compiling the data from completed survey forms and performing a quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) review of the survey forms. Survey question results were grouped by response and tallied for statistical comparison. To determine the average response of recreation factors, the five point response format was converted to a scale ranging from 1 to 5 where a value of 1 equates to a highly unacceptable rating and a value of 5 equates to a rating of highly acceptable. Stream corridor recreation data obtained during the study was input into a GIS database.

## 5.0 RESULTS

Data on stream corridor use was provided by 655 respondents who completed the stream corridor section of the summer recreation use survey questionnaire. The following summarizes the results of the respondent data.

As displayed in Table REC 5-1, the five most popular stream corridor recreation activities based on the number of survey responses were: hiking/walking (423); fishing (386); swimming/wading/water play (371); viewing wildlife scenery, etc. (350); and general relaxing (329). There were very few responses for resource consumptive recreation activities such as gold panning (9) or rock collecting (36). Less than 10% (55) of the respondents checked the "other" category and provided a written response. Among the written responses, recreation activities were: cycling, mountain biking, mini bike riding, photography, and hot springs bathing (in the Mono Hot Springs area).

Many visitors to the stream corridors participated in multiple recreation activities as indicated in Table REC 5-2. The survey results indicate that most stream corridor visitors participated in a number of activities ranging from one activity (86 respondents, 13.1%) to six activities (87 respondents, 13.1%). Four different recreation activities in the stream corridor received the greatest number of respondents at 107 or 16.3%.

Table REC 5-3 summarizes, by rank, the stream corridors in which people recreated. The stream corridors that received the greatest number of responses were along the SFSJR and included the three following reaches: (1) near Mono Hot Springs (167); (2) below Florence Lake (111); and (3) above Florence Lake (75). The second most popular area was along Mono Creek which included three sections: (1) Mono Creek above Lake Edison (81 responses); (2) Mono Creek between Lake Edison and Mono Diversion Dam (84 responses), and Mono Creek between the Mono Diversion and the South Fork San Joaquin River (41). Three other stream corridors that received a

moderate number of responses were: Big Creek upstream of Huntington Lake (81), Bear Creek above the Bear Diversion (54), and Portal Tailrace (53). Respondents also provided 75 write in responses of stream corridors in which they recreated. The greatest number of write-in response locations were identified as Dinkey Creek (7), Huntington Lake (6), and four at each of the following locations: Cold Creek, Deer Creek, Line Creek, Rancheria (Creek), and Shaver Lake. In addition, there were 75 write in responses on stream corridor locations and these locations are identified in footnote No. 2 in Table REC 5-3.

Table REC 5-4 summarizes the number of responses indicating that visitors recreated in multiple stream corridor locations within the study area. Most survey respondents 292 (55.7%) indicated that they recreated only in one stream corridor location. While 128 responses (24.4%) indicated they recreated in two stream corridor locations. Table REC 5-5 summarizes the survey respondents' satisfaction/rating of experiential factors associated with the stream corridor recreation. The median for all of the factors evaluated ranged between 3.5 and 4.4, above neutral to moderately acceptable, respectively. No factors score a median value lower than neutral. Trail access and vehicle access to the stream corridors received the highest median ratings of 4.4 and 4.3, both moderately acceptable ratings.

Tables REC 5-6 and REC 5-7 summarize the stream corridor locations and activities in which 58 survey respondents, (9% of the 655 total respondents) indicated that their activity in the stream corridor was affected by water level. Fishing is the activity that received the greatest number of responses (27), followed by camping (5), swimming/wading/water play (3), non-motorized boating (3), picnicking (2), horseback riding (1), and backpacking (1). Sixteen respondents indicated that their recreation in the stream corridor was affected but did not identify an activity and 18 respondents indicated an affect to their activity in the stream corridor but did not specify a location. The four reaches and associated activity types that were most often identified by respondents as having their recreation activity affected were (with number of responses):

- Mono Creek (14 responses) with nine fishing, four camping, and one horseback riding.;
- San Joaquin River (5 responses) with four fishing, and one water play/swimming;
- Florence Lake (5 responses) with three fishing, one camping, and one water play/swimming; and
- Big Creek (3 responses) for fishing.

Survey responses were further analyzed with respect to respondents indicating someone in their party had a physical impairment that could affect their use of outdoor recreation facilities. Table REC 5-8 summarizes, by rank, the stream corridors in which respondents indicating someone in their party had a physical impairment recreated. The stream corridor that received the greatest number of responses was the SFSJR near Mono Hot Springs (11). All other stream corridors had four responses or less. Table

REC 5-8 also presents the number of respondents indicating that facilities were unacceptable. Of the total 46 responses, there were 6 responses that indicated the facilities were unacceptable. When given, the reasons for the unacceptable response were restroom accessibility and lack of faucets/hand washing facilities.

Table REC 5-9 summarizes the survey respondents' indicating someone in their party had physical impairment that could affect their use of outdoor recreation facilities satisfaction/rating of experiential factors associated with the stream corridor recreation. The median for all of the factors evaluated ranged between 2.9 and 4.0; neutral to moderately acceptable, respectively. No factors score a median value lower than neutral (2.9). Safety received the highest median rating of 4.0. Restroom availability, vehicle access, and trail access to the stream corridor received a mean value of 3.8; moderately acceptable ratings.

Stream corridor recreation opportunities were further investigated by a review of literature and consultation with agency personnel. A review of guidebooks by Stienstra (2000), the USDA-FS, Sierra national Forest Land and Resource Management Plan (USDA-FS 1991) and the Sierra Nevada Forest Plan Amendment (USDA-FS 2001) did not reveal any other activities beyond what is mentioned above or in Table REC 5-1. Consultation with the USDA-FS (Whelan pers. comm. 2003) did not reveal any areas of high recreation use, or specific recreation use numbers for developed (or dispersed) recreation along study area stream corridors beyond that identified in the study. No additional information on activities or use levels beyond that obtained in the study was obtained from consultation with CDFG (Means pers. comm., 2003).

### 6.0 **REFERENCES**

- Means, Julie. 2003. USDA-FS. Personal communication by telephone with Asavari Devadiga, ENTRIX, Inc. August 20, 2003.
- Southern California Edison. 2003. 2002 Draft Technical Study Report Package for the Big Creek Hydroelectric System Alternative Licensing Process. October 2003.
- Stienstra, Tom. 2000. California Recreational Lakes and Rivers. Avalon Travel Publishing: Emeryville, CA. 559 pp.
- USDA-FS. 1991. Sierra National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan. USDA Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Region.
- USDA-FS. 2001. Sierra Nevada Forest Plan Amendment. USDA Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Region.
- Whelan, Cindy. 2003. CDFG. Personal communication by telephone with Tim Blewett, ENTRIX, Inc. August 25, 2003.

# TABLES

Activity	Number of Responses	Percent of Responses
Hiking/walking	423	16.2%
Fishing	386	14.8%
Swimming/wading/water play	371	14.2%
Viewing wildlife, scenery, etc.	350	13.4%
General-relaxing	329	12.6%
Camping in developed areas	291	11.2%
Picnicking	188	7.2%
Undeveloped camping	128	4.9%
Horseback riding	41	1.6%
Rock Collecting	36	1.4%
Plant gathering (berries, mushrooms, grasses, etc.)	29	1.1%
Hunting	16	0.6%
Gold panning	9	0.3%
Whitewater boating	8	0.3%

# Table REC 5-1.Recreation Activities Engaged in Along Stream Corridors in<br/>the Big Creek Study Area.

Note: This question allowed for multiple responses. The total number of responses was 2,605 (n=2,605) on the 655 surveys questionnaires that contained responses to this question.

# Table REC 5-2.Number of Stream Corridor Survey Responses Indicating that<br/>Visitors Participated in Multiple Recreation Activities.

Number of Recreation Activities in which Visitors Participated	Number of Survey Responses	Percentage <sup>1</sup>
1	86	13.1
2	104	15.9
3	90	13.7
4	107	16.3
5	95	14.5
6	87	13.1
7	64	9.8
8	18	2.7
9	4	<1
Total	655	

<sup>1</sup>Responses do <u>not</u> sum to 100% due to rounding.

Area	Number of Responses <sup>1</sup>
South Fork San Joaquin River near Mono Hot Springs	167
South Fork San Joaquin River below Florence Lake	111
Big Creek upstream of Huntington Lake	85
Mono Creek between Lake Edison and Mono Diversion Dam	84
Mono Creek above Lake Edison	81
South Fork San Joaquin River above Florence Lake	75
Bear Creek above Bear Diversion	54
Portal Tailrace	53
Mono Creek between Mono Diversion Dam and South Fork San Joaquin River	41
Big Creek between Huntington Lake and the town of Big Creek	31
Bear Creek below Bear Diversion	28
South Fork San Joaquin River above Mammoth Pool	23
Big Creek between the town of Big Creek and the San Joaquin River	18
South Fork San Joaquin River near Mono/Rattlesnake crossings	17
Stevenson Creek below Shaver Lake	17
Tributary to the San Joaquin River (all responses)	16
Tributary to the South Fork San Joaquin River (all responses)	12
North Fork Stevenson Creek	9
South Fork San Joaquin River below Mammoth Pool	7
South Fork San Joaquin River above Redinger	4
South Fork San Joaquin River below Redinger	1
Other stream corridor locations (all responses) <sup>2</sup>	75

# Table REC 5-3. Stream/River Corridor Areas Visited in the Big Creek Area.

<sup>1</sup>Percentages are not reported since the stream/river corridor area question permitted multiple responses. Therefore, percentages do not sum to 100%.

<sup>2</sup> The 75 other stream corridor location identified as write in responses include the following locations: 8 Creek, Balsam Creek, Boggy Creek, Bolsillo Creek Chiquito Creek, Hooper Creek, Kaiser Creek, Rancheria Creek, Rattlesnake Creek, Rock Creek, Tamarack Creek, Devil's Bathtub, Dinkey Lakes, Doris Lake, Florence Lake, Huntington Lake, Nellie Lake, Portal Forebay, Shaver Lake, Twin Lakes

Table REC 5-4.	Number	of	Survey	Responses	Indicating	that	Visitors
	Recreate	d in	Multiple S	Stream Corrid	ors.		

Number of Stream Corridors Locations In which Visitors Recreated	Number of Responses	Percentage <sup>1</sup>
1	292	55.7
2	128	24.4
3	49	9.3
4	26	5.0
5	12	2.3
6	8	1.5
7	8	1.5
8	1	<1
9	0	0
Total	524	

<sup>1</sup>Responses do <u>not</u> sum to 100% due to rounding.

### Table REC 5-5. Satisfaction with Recreation Factors in the Stream Corridor.

Recreation Factor	Number of Responses <sup>1</sup>	Mean (Standard Deviation) <sup>2</sup>
Trail access to stream corridor	480	4.4 (0.8)
Vehicular access	495	4.3 (0.9)
Safety	426	4.2 (0.9)
Availability of developed camp/picnic sites	385	4.1 (1.0)
Restroom availability	404	4.0 (1.1)
Number of people encountered	456	3.9 (1.1)
Restroom cleanliness	394	3.9 (1.1)
Trash disposal	398	3.8 (1.3)
Adequacy of visit/trip/recreation information	332	3.8 (0.9)
Adequacy of interpretive displays, and exhibits	318	3.6 (1.0)
Drinking water availability	392	3.5 (1.2)

 $\ensuremath{\overset{1}{_{\sim}}}\xspace$  This column refers to the number of respondents that gave an acceptability rating.

<sup>2</sup>Satisfaction was measured on an acceptability scale, where–2=highly unacceptable, and 2=highly acceptable. To determine the average response of recreation factors, the five point response format was converted to a scale ranging from 1 to 5 where a value of one equates to a highly unacceptable rating and a value of 5 equates to a rating of highly acceptable.

Table REC 5-6.	Activities in which Survey Respondents Indicated that their
	Recreation Activity was Affected by Water Levels.

Activity	Number of responses <sup>1</sup>
Fishing	46
Fishing	46
Swimming/wading/water play	37
Hiking/walking	37
General – relaxing	36
Viewing wildlife, scenery, etc	34
Camping in developed sites	30
Undeveloped camping	18
Picnicking	16
Horseback riding	6
Rock collecting	6
Whitewater boating	5
Hunting	4
Plant gathering (berries, mushrooms, grasses, etc.)	4
Gold panning	2
Other	2

<sup>1</sup>Based out of a total of 58 "yes" respondents to activity affected by water level. The total number of responses do not sum to 58 since the question permitted multiple responses.

# Table REC 5-7.Locations where Respondents Indicated that their Recreation<br/>Activity was Affected by Water Levels.

Locations	Number of responses <sup>1</sup>
Mono Creek between Lake Edison and Mono Diversion Dam.	19
South Fork San Joaquin River near Mono Hot Springs.	18
South Fork San Joaquin River above Florence Lake.	15
South Fork San Joaquin River below Florence Lake.	15
Mono Creek above Lake Edison.	15
Bear Creek above Bear Diversion.	14
Big Creek upstream of Huntington Lake.	12
Mono Creek between Mono Diversion Dam and South Fork San Joaquin River.	7
San Joaquin River above Mammoth Pool.	6
San Joaquin River above Redinger Lake.	3
Portal Tailrace (Rancheria Creek at Huntington Lake).	3
Tributary to the South Fork San Joaquin River: Name	3
Bear Creek below Bear Diversion.	2
North Fork Stevenson Creek.	2
Stevenson Creek below Shaver Lake.	2
Big Creek between the town of Big Creek and the San Joaquin River.	2
Tributary to the San Joaquin River: Name	2
South Fork San Joaquin River near Mono/Rattlesnake Crossings.	1
San Joaquin River below Redinger Lake.	1
Big Creek between Huntington Lake and the town of Big Creek.	1
San Joaquin River below Mammoth Pool.	0
Other locations	12

<sup>1</sup>Based out of a total of 58 "yes" respondents to activity affected by water level. The total responses do not sum to 58 since the question allowed for multiple responses.

# Table REC 5-8.Respondents Indicating Someone in their Party had a Physical<br/>Impairment that Could Affect the Use of Outdoor Recreation<br/>Facilities.

Locations	Number of responses <sup>1</sup>	Number of responses indicating facilities were unacceptable
South Fork San Joaquin River near Mono Hot Springs.	11	3
Mono Creek above Lake Edison.	4	0
Big Creek upstream of Huntington Lake.	4	0
Tributary to the San Joaquin River:	4	0
South Fork San Joaquin River near Mono/Rattlesnake Crossings.	3	1
Mono Creek between Mono Diversion Dam and South Fork San Joaquin River.	3	0
Bear Creek above Bear Diversion.	3	1
South Fork San Joaquin River above Florence Lake.	2	0
South Fork San Joaquin River below Florence Lake.	2	0
Mono Creek between Lake Edison and Mono Diversion Dam.	2	0
Stevenson Creek below Shaver Lake.	2	0
Big Creek between Huntington Lake and the town of Big Creek.	1	0
Big Creek between the town of Big Creek and the San Joaquin	1	0
Portal Tailrace (Rancheria Creek at Huntington Lake).	1	0
San Joaquin River above Mammoth Pool	1	0
Bear Creek below Bear Diversion.	1	1
Other locations	1	0
San Joaquin River below Mammoth Pool.	0	0
San Joaquin River above Redinger Lake.	0	0
San Joaquin River below Redinger Lake.	0	0
North Fork Stevenson Creek.	0	0
Tributary to the South Fork San Joaquin River: Name	0	0

<sup>1</sup>175 total respondents indicated someone in their party had a physical impairment. Out of a total of 175 "yes" respondents, 24 respondents had recreated in the identified stream corridors. The total responses do not sum to 24 since the questions allowed for multiple responses.

# Table REC 5-9.Respondents Indicating Someone in their Party had a Physical<br/>Impairment that Could Affect the Use of Outdoor Recreation<br/>Facilities - Satisfaction with Recreation Factors in the Stream<br/>Corridor.

Recreation Factor	Number of Responses <sup>1</sup>	Mean (Standard Deviation) <sup>2</sup>
Safety	21	4.0(1.1)
Restroom availability	19	3.8 (0.9)
Vehicular access	22	3.8 (1.1)
Trail access to stream corridor	23	3.8 (1.2)
Number of people encountered	22	3.6 (1.2)
Availability of developed camp/picnic sites	19	3.5 (1.4)
Restroom cleanliness	19	3.4 (1.2)
Adequacy of visit/trip/recreation information	20	3.3 (1.0)
Adequacy of interpretive displays, and exhibits	19	3.3 (1.1)
Drinking water availability	21	3.2 (1.3)
Trash disposal	20	2.9 (2.1)

<sup>1</sup>This column refers to the number of respondents that gave an acceptability rating.

<sup>2</sup>Satisfaction was measured on an acceptability scale, where–2=highly unacceptable, and 2=highly acceptable. To determine the average response of recreation factors, the five point response format was converted to a scale ranging from 1 to 5 where a value of one equates to a highly unacceptable rating and a value of 5 equates to a rating of highly acceptable.

**FIGURES** 

# **Placeholder for Figures**

# **Non-Internet Public Information**

These Figures have been removed in accordance with the Commission regulations at 18 CFR Section 388.112.

These Figures are considered Non-Internet Public information and should not be posted on the Internet. This information is provided in Volume 4 of the Application for New License and is identified as "Non-Internet Public" information. This information may be accessed from the FERC's Public Reference Room, but is not expected to be posted on the Commission's electronic library, except as an indexed item.

# **APPENDIX A**

# **RECREATION USE SURVEY INSTRUMENT/QUESTIONNAIRE**

## **STREAM CORRIDOR USE**

Please complete questions 15-18 if recreating within or adjacent to the stream corridor during this visit.

15. Check the boxes corresponding with the activity(ies) you engaged in on this trip while recreating in the stream corridor.

Camping in developed sites	Gold panning
Undeveloped camping	☐ Whitewater boating
Picnicking	General – relaxing
☐ Viewing wildlife, scenery, etc.	☐ Hiking/walking
Fishing	Horseback riding
Swimming/wading/water play	Rock collecting
Hunting	□ Other:

- Hunting
- Plant gathering (berries, mushrooms, grasses, etc.)
- 16. Check the boxes corresponding with the stream(s)/river(s) where you recreated in the stream corridor during this trip.

South Fork San Joaquin River above Bear Creek above Bear Diversion	
□ South Fork San Joaquin River below □ Bear Creek below Bear Diversion Florence Lake	
South Fork San Joaquin River near North Fork Stevenson Creek Mono Hot Springs	
South Fork San Joaquin River near Stevenson Creek below Shaver Lake Mono/Rattlesnake Crossings	
San Joaquin River above Mammoth Big Creek upstream of Huntington La Pool	ake
San Joaquin River below Mammoth Pool Big Creek between Huntington Lake of Big Creek	and the town
San Joaquin River above Redinger       Big Creek between the town of Big C         Lake       San Joaquin River	Creek and the
San Joaquin River below Redinger Dortal Tailrace (Rancheria Creek at H Lake	Iuntington
Mono Creek above Lake Edison Tributary to the South Fork San Joaq Name:	
<ul> <li>Mono Creek between Lake Edison and Mono Diversion Dam</li> <li>Tributary to the San Joaquin River, Name:</li> </ul>	
Mono Creek between Mono Diversion Dam and South Fork San	

17. Please rate your satisfaction with the following recreation factors in the stream corridor.

Joaquin River

	Ac	ceptable	Neutral	Unaccep	table	
Factor	Highly	Moderately	Ineutiai	Moderately	Highly	
Vehicular access	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
Trail access to stream corridor	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
Drinking water availability	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
Availability of developed camp/picnic sites	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
Trash disposal	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
Restroom availability	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
Restroom cleanliness	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
Number of people encountered	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
Safety	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
Adequacy of interpretive/educational displays, and exhibits	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
Adequacy of visit/trip/recreation information	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
Other:	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
If unacceptable, please explain:						I 
<ul> <li>18. Was your enjoyment of your recreation activity affected by other activities taking place in the stream corridor?    <ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>or by water level?</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> </ul> </li> </ul>						
Lake/stream name:		Your ac	tivity:			
If yes, explain:						

Please complete questions 19-23 if boating during this trip.

- 19. What type of boat did you use?
- Ski boat Fishing boat Pontoon boat Sail boat Personal watercraft INon-power boats (i.e., canoe, kayak, row boat, raft, etc.) □ Other

BOATING

- 20. If you launched your boat, name of launch facility: \_\_\_\_\_
- 21. Do you rent/own a boat slip? Yes 🗌 No 🗌

	Ac	ceptable	NT ( 1	Unaccep	otable	
	Highly	Moderately	Neutral	Moderately	Highly	
Boat ramp access	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
Condition of boat ramp	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
Condition of dock	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
Availability of boat slip/moorings	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
Condition of marina facilities	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
Parking availability	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
Parking area condition	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
Number of people/ boats encountered at						
ramp	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
Restroom availability	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
Restroom cleanliness	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
Availability of commercial facilities (gas,	2	1		-1	-2	NA
stores, rentals, etc.)	_	1	0	-	-2 -2	
Safety	2	1	0	-1	-	NA
Nearby picnic areas	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
Trash disposal	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
Disability access	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA

taking place on the lake or river? Yes No or the lake/river water level? Yes No Lake/stream name: Your activity:

If yes, explain:

Comments/Suggestions:		

SCE would like to thank you for your cooperation and time in completing this survey questionnaire.

Completed survey forms may be returned to the surveyor, the survey drop box on the survey kiosk, or completed forms may also be faxed or mailed to:

> Ms. Carla Anthony Relicensing Coordinator Southern California Edison Northern Hydro Region Office P.O. Box 100 Big Creek, CA 93605 Fax: (559) 893-3626

If you fished during this trip please complete the separate Angling Survey form.

5. Does recrea

# **Summer Recreation Use Survey**

Southern California Edison and the Big Creek Collaborative are conducting this summer recreation use survey as part of the relicensing of the Big Creek Hydroelectric System. Your responses to this survey will assist us in determining recreation use and opportunities in the Big Creek area. The results of the survey will help maintain future recreation opportunities in the Big Creek area.

### **Survey Instructions:**

This survey is divided into sections, which ask questions about your background, general recreation use, camping, picnicking, trail use, stream corridor use and boating. Everyone should complete the Background and General Recreation Use sections. You should also complete any additional sections that correspond with activities you participated in during your visit.

# BACKGROUND

All respondents please complete questions 1-5
Date:
How Many in Group: (Adults): Children (under 16):
Number of Vehicles in Your Group?
Type(s) of vehicles: Car Pickup/SUV Motorcycle Motor home/RV
OHV/Green Sticker Travel trailer Boat/Utility/Horse trailer Other:
Residence, yours and other group members:
City/Town: State: Zip:
City/Town: State: Zip:
City/Town: State: Zip:
1. How did you find out about the recreation opportunities in the area?
Live locally
Advice from family or a friend
□ Newspaper or magazine □ Resort or local business
USFS brochure/map Exploring on my own
□ Visitor center □ Radio/TV
Chamber of Commerce or Visitor's Bureau
Guidebook  Guidebook  Sportsman's expo
☐ Interest group or hobby affiliations ☐ Internet, Name of website:
□ Other:
2. How many years have you recreated in the area?
$\square$ 1 $\square$ 2 $\square$ 3 to 5 $\square$ 6 to 10 $\square$ 10+
3. How many trips per year do you take to the area from April through November?
$\square 1  \square 2  \square 3 \text{ to } 5  \square 6 \text{ to } 10  \square 10+$
4. How much money will you spend locally in the mountain communities during your current visit to this area?
$\square$ \$0 to \$50 $\square$ \$51 to \$100 $\square$ \$101 to \$200 $\square$ \$201 to \$500 $\square$ more than \$500
5. Does anyone in your party have a physical impairment that might hinder their use of outdoor recreation facilities or opportunities during this visit?
Yes No
Were the services and facilities in the areas you visited on this trip adequate for that physical impairment?
If inadequate, please explain :



All 1 6.	respondents please complete questions 6-9. Did your visit to the area involve a local overnight stay? If yes, where did you stay? Rental home/hotel/condo/cabin/lodge Camp Edison USFS campground, Name: Camping in undeveloped areas of the National For Camping in designated Wilderness Areas	Yes	□ No I	GENERAL RECREATION USE
	Other:			

7. Check the boxes corresponding with the area(s) you visited on this trip, and indicate the time you spent in each area you visited. (Please refer to the map on the information kiosk for area locations.)

1 5 1				,
	< 0.5 day	0.5-1 day	2 days	> 2 days
Area 1-Redinger Reservoir				
Area 2-San Joaquin River Canyon				
Area 3-Mammoth Pool Reservoir				
Area 4-Shaver Lake				
Area 5-Big Creek/Camp Sierra				
Area 6-Tamarack Ridge/Red Lake/Coyote Lake				
Area 7-Huntington Lake				
Area 8-Kaiser Wilderness Area				
Area 9-Stump Springs Rd./Mt. Tom				
Area 10-Kaiser Ridge				
Area 11-Dinkey Lakes Wilderness Area				
Area 12-Portal Forebay				
Area 13-Ansel Adams Wilderness Area				
Area 14-John Muir Wilderness Area				
Area 15-High Sierra Station/Mono Hot Springs/ Ward Lake				
Area 16-Lake Edison/Bear Diversion Dam				
Area 17-Florence Lake				

CAMPING

8.	For the recreation activities you engaged in on this trip: a) check the corruplease rate your satisfaction by circling a value in the range provided.	esponding boxes; and b)
	Accentable	Unaccentable

please face your satisfaction by cheming a vare		• •	I. 	~ ~	
	Acceptable			Unaccept	
	Highly	Moderately	Neutral	Moderately	Highly
Camping in developed sites	2	1	0	-1	-2
Undeveloped camping	2	1	0	-1	-2
Wilderness day use	2	1	0	-1	-2
Wilderness overnight use	2	1	0	-1	-2
Resorts, cabins, rental accommodations	2	1	0	-1	-2
Picnicking (single or group sites)	2	1	0	-1	-2
Viewing wildlife, scenery photography etc.	2	1	0	-1	-2
Visiting interpretive/educational center	2	1	0	-1	-2
Visiting historic/cultural sites	2	1	0	-1	-2
Stream fishing	2	1	0	-1	-2
Lake fishing	2	1	0	-1	-2
Hunting	2	1	0	-1	-2
Plant gathering (berries, mushrooms, grasses,	2	1	0	-1	-2
etc.)					
Woodcutting	2	1	0	-1	-2
Off-highway vehicle travel	2 2 2	1	0	-1	-2
Driving for pleasure on roads	2	1	0	-1	-2 -2
Motorized water activities (boat, personal	2	1	0	-1	-2
watercraft, etc.)					
Nonmotorized flatwater boating	2	1	0	-1	-2
Sailing	2	1	0	-1	-2
Whitewater boating	2	1	0	-1	-2
Hiking/walking	2	1	0	-1	-2
Horseback riding	2	1	0	-1	-2
Bicycling/mountain biking	2	1	0	-1	-2
Swimming/wading/water play	2	1	0	-1	-2
Sports/games/field activities	2	1	0	-1	-2
General – relaxing	2	1	0	-1	-2
Other:	2	1	0	-1	-2
Other:	2	1	0	-1	-2
If unacceptable, please explain:					

- 9. 1.)\_\_\_\_ 10. If lake or
  - activities or the lake Lake/strea If yes, explain

### Factors

Variety of recrea Cost of facility a Not crowded River, stream, lal Availability of co stores, rentals, et Availability of a resorts, etc.) Developed restro Developed camp RV hook-ups Clean/well main Interpretive/Educ Cultural/Historic Onsite manager

Scenery/Aestheti Other:

Name of Trailhead:

### Facility

PICNICKING

Parking availab Parking area con Restroom availa Restroom clean Number of peop Adequacy of int displays, and ex Adequacy of vis Condition of tra Access to facilit Other: If unacceptable, please explain

Name of Trailh

# Facility

Parking availab Parking area co Restroom avail Restroom clean Number of peo Adequacy of in displays, and ex Adequacy of v Condition of tra Access to facil Other: If unacceptable

What was your mode of camping?								
Recreational vehicle Trailer/Tent trailer Tent Other:								
Please rate your satisfaction with the quality of the facilities at the campground.								
	Ace	ceptable		Unaccept	table	1		
Facility	Highly	Moderately	Neutral	Moderately	Highly			
Campsite availability	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA		
Campsite condition	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA		
Cost of campground access fee	2	1	0	<b>D</b> -1	-2	NA		
Adequacy of shower facilities	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA		
Road condition in campground	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA		
Drinking water availability	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA		
Fire ring/BBQ condition	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA		
Table condition	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA		
Trash disposal	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA		
Parking availability	2	1	0	-1	-2 -2	NA		
Parking area condition	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA		
Restroom availability	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA		
Restroom cleanliness	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA		
Number of people encountered	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA		
Safety	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA		
Adequacy of interpretive/ educational displays, and exhibits	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA		
Adequacy of visit/trip/recreation information	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA		
Access to facilities	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA		
Other:	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA		
If unacceptable, please explain:								

12. Did you camp during this trip? Yes No

If yes, name of campground:

13.	Did you use a picnic area during this trip?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No	

If yes, name of picnic area:

Please rate your satisfaction with the qual	ity of the facilities a	at the picni	c area.
	Acceptable		Una

	Ac	ceptable		Unacceptable		
Facility	Highly	Moderately	Neutral	Moderately	Highly	
Picnic site availability	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
Picnic site condition	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
Drinking water availability	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
Fire ring/BBQ condition	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
Table condition	2	1	0	-1	-2	N
Trash disposal	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
Parking availability	2	1	0	-1	-2	N
Parking area condition	2	1	0	-1	-2	N
Restrooms availability	2	1	0	-1	-2	N
Restrooms cleanliness	2	1	0	-1	-2	N
Number of people encountered	2	1	0	-1	-2	N
Adequacy of interpretive/educational displays and exhibits	2	1	0	-1	-2	N
Adequacy of visit/trip/recreation information	2	1	0	-1	-2	N
Access to facilities	2	1	0	-1	-2	N
Other:	2	1	0	-1	-2	N

List the two top activities that were the focus o 1.)	f your visit. 2.)
If lake or river recreating, was your enjoyment activities taking place on the lake or river? or the lake/river water level?	of your recreation activity affected by other Yes No Yes No
Lake/stream name:	Your activity:
If yes explain	

11. How important are the following factors when choosing a recreation area? Check the box that best represents your (your household) opinions regarding the importance of the listed factors. 1 1

	Not Important	Somewhat	Important	Very Important	NA
		mportant	mportant	mportant	INA
tion opportunities					
ccess fees					
ke, or trail access					
ommercial facilities (gas, c.)					
ccommodations (cabins,					
oom/shower/drinking water					
/picnic sites					
tained facilities					
cational opportunities					
cal opportunities					
(camp host)					
ics					

14. Did you use trails in the region during this trip?  $\Box$  Yes  $\Box$  No

# TRAILS

If yes, please name the trailhead(s) you used and your activity (hiking, mountain biking, horseback riding, etc.), then rate your satisfaction with the quality of the facilities at each trailhead. Activity:

leau.	Activity	/				
	Ace	ceptable		Unaccept		
		Moderately	Neutral	Moderately	Highly	
bility	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
ondition	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
ilability	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
nliness	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
ople encountered at trailhead	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
nterpretive/ educational	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
exhibits at trailhead						
visit/trip/ recreation information	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
rail	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
lities	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
a nlaasa avnlain						

head:	Activit	y:				
	Acceptable			Unacceptable		
	Highly	Moderately	Neutral	Moderately	Highly	
ability	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
condition	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
ilability	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
anliness	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
ople encountered at trailhead	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
interpretive/ educational	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
exhibits at trailhead						
visit/trip/ recreation information	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
trail	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
ilities	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
	2	1	0	-1	-2	NA
e, please explain						