Charge Ready Pilot Program O4/2016 Report

Issued March 1, 2017



Get Started



Table Of Contents

Table of Tables

Л

| 1.2 Pilot Summary for Quarter | |
|-------------------------------|------|

2.0 Customer Outreach and Enrollment

| | - |
|---|---|
| 2.2 Market Education & TE Advisory Services | 6 |
| 2.3 Outreach Events | 7 |

3.0 Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment Qualification

| 3.1 F | Requirements | 8 |
|-------|--------------|---|
|-------|--------------|---|

4.0 Electric Vehicle Charging Load

| 4.1 EV Charging Load |) |
|----------------------|---|
|----------------------|---|

5.0 Operations

| | 5.1 Charge Ready Pilot Operations |
|-------------|---|
| | 5.2 Supplier Diversity |
| Programs | 5.3 Collaboration Efforts with Complementary EV |
| s 15 | 5.4 Disadvantaged Communities Outreach Events |

6.0 Conclusion

| 6.1 Conclusion |
|----------------|
|----------------|

Appendix

| Appendix17 |
|------------|
|------------|

Table Of Tables

1.0 Executive Summary

| Table 1.1 – Pilot Summary for Quarter 4, 2016 | |
|---|--|
| | |
| Table 1.2 – Pilot Challenges and Resolutions | |

2.0 Customer Outreach and Enrollment

| Table 2.1 – Charge Ready Landing Page Traffic Metrics | .4 |
|---|-----|
| Table 2.2 – Summary of Account Manager Interactions with MUD Customers | . 4 |
| Table 2.3 – 71 MUD Customer Feedback for not Participating in the Program | . 5 |
| Table 2.4 – Summary of Account Manager Interactions with Customers | . 5 |
| Table 2.5 – Customer's Source of Knowledge of Pilot | . 5 |
| Table 2.6 – Charge Ready EV Awareness Website Metrics | . 6 |
| Table 2.7 – CAT Survey Results | . 6 |
| Table 2.8 – Charge Ready Education & Outreach and Market Education & TE Advisory Services Outreach Events | 7 |

3.0 Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment Qualification

| Graph 3.1 – Number of Approved Charging System Models | .8 |
|---|-----|
| Table 3.2 – EVSE Model Summary | . 8 |
| Table 3.3 – Rase Cost of Charging Systems | 8 |

4.0 Electric Vehicle Charging Load

5.0 Operations

| Table 5.1 – Pilot Operational Metrics for Quarter | . 11 |
|---|------|
| Graph 5.1 – YTD Applications Received | . 11 |
| Graph 5.2 – YTD Charge Ports Requested | . 11 |
| Table 5.2 – Customer Participant Request | . 12 |
| Table 5.3 – Pilot Costs | . 12 |

| Graph 5.3 – Rebate Reserved by Segment | 13 |
|---|----|
| Table E. 4 Dilat Quela Timas | 10 |
| Table 5.4 – Pliot Cycle Times | 13 |
| Table 5.5 – Charging Station Request & Rebate | 14 |
| Table 5.6 – Charge Ready Collaboration Efforts with Complimentary EV Programs | 15 |
| Table 5.7 – Disadvantaged Community Outreach Events | 15 |

6.0 Conclusion

Appendix

| Pilot Operational Metrics for Quarter | |
|--|----|
| Total number of applications received | |
| Percentage of charging stations requested | |
| Number of applicants rejected | |
| Number of applicants withdrawn | |
| Number of applicants withdrawn after signing Step 2 - Agreement | |
| Total number of charge ports installed | |
| Average number of charge ports installed per site | |
| Total number of completed projects | |
| Average number of total parking spaces per site | 20 |
| Percentage of total number of parking spaces located in parking structures | 20 |
| Average fleet size | 21 |
| Average number of charge ports requested per site | 21 |
| Pilot Costs | 21 |
| Total estimated Pilot costs | 22 |
| Total amount of rebate reserved | 22 |
| Total amount of rebate paid | 22 |
| Average amount of rebate paid per site | |

| Total actual construction costs for SCE infrastructure | . 23 |
|--|------|
| Average actual construction cost for SCE infrastructure per site | . 23 |
| Pilot Cycle Times | . 24 |
| Charging Station Request & Rebate | . 25 |

Electric Vehicle Charging Load

1.0 Executive Summary

1.1 Charge Ready Pilot Program Overview

SCE's Charge Ready Program Pilot (Pilot) seeks to increase the availability of long dwell-time electric vehicle (EV) charging infrastructure. As part of the Pilot, SCE deploys, owns, and maintains the electric infrastructure needed to serve EV charging stations, or Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment (EVSE), at participating customer locations. The Pilot also offers participating customers (Customer Participants) a rebate applicable against the cost of acquiring and installing qualified EVSEs. Customer Participants must procure, operate, and maintain the charging stations in accordance with the terms and conditions of Schedule **Charge Ready Program Pilot (Schedule CRPP)**. Customer Participants may determine their own policy about the use of the charging stations (e.g., access, financial contribution from EV drivers).

In conjunction with the Pilot, SCE has launched a complementary EV Market Education effort to increase customer awareness about EVs and the benefits of fueling from the grid, including supporting California's carbon-reduction goals and improving air quality. The EV Market Education effort includes a Transportation Electrification (TE) Advisory Services program to provide a "onestop shop" for customers to receive specialized education and support on a broad array of TE issues.

The Pilot targets key market segments for deployment, including workplaces, multi-unit dwellings (MUDs), fleet parking, and destination locations where vehicles are usually parked for at least four hours. In particular, SCE focuses some of its efforts on disadvantaged communities¹, which are disproportionately affected by low EV adoption and negative environmental impacts of gasoline- and diesel-powered vehicles.

The Pilot's objectives are to inform and refine the program's design and cost estimates and develop success measures for a subsequent Phase 2. The Pilot's quarterly reports include key metrics and updates about progress, achievements, and lessons learned. The quarterly reports may also include recommendations from the Advisory Board that SCE will consider incorporating in its Phase 2 proposal.

1.2 Pilot Summary for Quarter

By the end of Q3 2016, SCE had received 306 applications total for the Pilot. During Q4 2016, SCE received an additional 28 Pilot applications. By the end of Q4 2016, SCE total 334 received applications. SCE also received executed agreements from 43 Customer Participants, totaling 687 charge ports. The projects with executed agreements started the planning and design process, and Customers began procuring qualified charging stations.



¹ As defined by CalEPA's CalEnviroScreen 2.0

The table below summarizes the Pilot's expenses to date.

Table 1.1 – Pilot Summary for Quarter 4, 2016

| Variables | Authorized/Planning Assumptions | Year-to-date | Remaining | % Remaining |
|--|------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| Capital | | | | |
| Utility Side Infrastructure Costs | \$3,353,532 | \$0 | \$3,353,532 | 100% |
| Customer Side Infrastructure Costs | \$7,586,387 | \$1,386,160 | \$6,200,227 | 82% |
| Easement | \$115,942 | \$45,516 | \$70,426 | 61% |
| Station Testing | \$30,000 | \$28,833 | \$1,167 | 4% |
| Business Customer Division Labor | \$103,500 | \$8,473 | \$95,027 | 92% |
| Program Management Office Labor | \$460,003 | \$322,959 | \$137,044 | 30% |
| Operations & Maintenance | | | | |
| Rebate | \$5,850,000 | \$0 | \$5,850,000 | 100% |
| Business Customer Division Labor | \$51,750 | \$18,640 | \$33,110 | 64% |
| Transportation Electrification Advisory Services | \$316,800 | \$97,427 | \$219,373 | 69% |
| PMO Labor & Non-Labor | \$232,340 | \$117,157 | \$ 135,183 | 54% |
| Charge Ready ME&O, Market Reporting, SAP | \$665,000 | \$365,258 | \$ 279,742 | 43% |
| EV Awareness | \$2,830,600 | \$1,089,924 | \$1,740,676 | 61% |
| | \$21,595,853 | \$3,480,348 | \$18,115,506 | 84% |

At the end of Q4 2016, SCE learned from the first applications that completed the Planning and Design stages of the application process. Table 1.2 lists the main operational issues encountered during Q4 2016 and their resolutions.



Resolution

For all applications, SCE now bypasses the

contingent easement and only requires

execution of the final easement. The final

easement will reflect the final design and

location of the charging stations.

Table 1.2 - Pilot Challenges and Resolutions

Issue

The Pilot's easement process is a two-step process. First, property owners are asked to sign a contingent easement that provides a "blanket" easement over the entire property. Once the final design is completed and accepted by the Customer Participant, SCE amends the contingent easement and prepares a final easement that only encompasses the location of the charging station infrastructure. Several customers were resistant to executing a contingent easement over their entire property.

SCE proposes a maximum number of charging stations to deploy at a customer site which meets the anticipated utilization. For disadvantaged communities, the maximum utilization supports the minimum program requirement of 5 charge ports. This presents a challenge for customers who prefer dual port stations.

The Program's current approach is to deploy a separate panel and separate service for the charging stations. This approach is more costly than using an existing panel and service line at the customer site.

To support customer preference, SCE allows exceptions for disadvantaged communities. In disadvantaged communities, Customers who are approved for a maximum of 5 ports are allowed to deploy 6 ports if the customer selects dual port stations.

For Phase 2, SCE will consider using a customer's existing panel and service line as another design alternative. This approach will be limited to customers with existing panels that can support the new load from the charging stations.

After signing the Program Agreement, Customers are required to provide proof of purchase of the charging stations within 30 calendar days. SCE found a majority of Customer submissions to be incomplete or inaccurate. SCE made a number of changes to improve the completeness and accuracy of the submitted documents. Customer form instructions were updated, charging station vendors were reminded of the requirements, and samples of complete submissions were shared with customers and vendors.

Electric Vehicle Charging Load

2.0 Customer Outreach and Enrollment

2.1 Charge Ready Education & Outreach

Charge Ready education and outreach efforts are designed to promote the Pilot to SCE customers. SCE is also testing and refining its tactics and marketing channels in preparation for a subsequent phase of Charge Ready, including email, website, social media, collateral, and account manager interaction.

Table 2.1 presents the data collected for the Charge Ready Landing Page to measure the traffic of the website.

Table 2.1 – Charge Ready Landing Page Traffic Metrics

| Metric | Q3 2016 | Q4 2016 | % Change |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| Unique Visitor Count ² | 1,354 | 940 | -30.60% |
| Repeat Visitor Count ³ | 620 | 458 | -26.10% |
| Page Views ⁴ | 2,281 | 1,703 | -25.30% |
| Bounce Rate⁵ | 54.96% ⁶ | 54.87% ⁷ | -0.2% |

Due to overwhelming interest in the program, SCE initiated a waitlist process for non-multi-unit dwelling customers during Q4 2016. SCE stopped accepting new applications from fleet, workplace, and destination center segments. SCE continued to reserve 25% of infrastructure and rebate funds for multi-unit dwelling (MUD) customers and target outreach to MUDs. SCE's focus in Q4 2016 was marketing and outreaching to the MUD segment to encourage participation.

The engagement plan for MUDs composed of direct engagement by SCE account managers and customer outreach events. SCE performed over 550 interactions with MUD customers through phone calls, email, and in-person visits. Table 2.2, below, summarizes account manager interactions for the MUD segment during Q4 2016. SCE also started weekly MUD Virtual Workshops to educate MUDs about the Charge Ready Program and other complementary EV programs available to them. During the meetings, SCE shared the MUD fact sheet and other targeted marketing materials that were developed during Q3 2016. The outreach resulted in 7 new MUD applications, totaling 19 MUD applications in the program. Of the 19 MUD applications, 9 applications did not meet the minimum program requirements and 10 applications are continuing to progress through the program process. By the end of 2016, SCE received commitments from 2 MUD customers for 23 charge ports. SCE is continuing to work with and reserve funds for 8 additional MUD customers through January 2017.

| Table | 2.2 - | Summary | of A | ccount | Manager | Interactions | with |
|-------|-------|---------|------|--------|---------|--------------|------|
| MUD | Cust | omers | | | | | |

| Activity | No. Interactions Q3 2016 |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Emails ⁸ | 59 |
| Group Presentations | 19 |
| In-Person Visits | 7 |
| Positioning Event ⁹ | 0 |
| Telephone Calls | 64 |
| Total | 149 |

SCE learned about the MUD customer segment through their marketing and outreach approach. Low customer attendance at the first two MUD Virtual Workshops changed the outreach strategy from a mass message approach to a more targeted, direct engagement approach. SCE intended to reach large number of MUD customers through the virtual workshops, but later found direct engagement to be more effective in educating customers about the program.

² A unique visitor is a person who visits the landing page at least once within the reporting period.

³ A repeat visitor is a person with multiple sessions of the landing page within the reporting period.

⁴ A page view refers to an instance of the landing page being loaded in a web browser.

⁵ The bounce rate is the percentage of visitors to a particular website who navigate away from the site after viewing only one page.

⁶ This bounce rate is expected; for customers to enroll in the Pilot, they must enter the Charge Ready Enrollment Portal, which means they would have effectively "navigated away from" the landing page; this registers as a "bounce," even though the customer has taken a positive step toward enrollment.

⁷ This bounce rate is expected; for customers to enroll in the Pilot, they must enter the Charge Ready Enrollment Portal, which means they would have effectively "navigated away from" the landing page; this registers as a "bounce," even though the customer has taken a positive step toward enrollment.

⁸ These are incremental, follow-up emails to the email invitations originally sent to customers at the launch of the Program.

⁹ Presentations provided by BCD Account Managers to industry or civic events.

Electric Vehicle Charging Load

SCE discontinued the weekly MUD Virtual Workshops and instead, focused efforts on direct engagement with customers. SCE's direct interactions (phone, email, and in-person meetings) with MUD customers uncovered customers interested in charging stations and also uncovered reasons why some MUD customers were not interested in the program. For the customers interested in the program, SCE was able to focus resources to support these customers during in the enrollment process. For customers not interested in the program, SCE was able to gather customer feedback that would help inform Phase 2's MUD outreach strategy. The graphic below summarizes the feedback from 71 MUD customers indicating their reason for not participating in the program:

Table 2.3 – 71 MUD Customer Feedback for not Participating in the Program

| Ò | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 |
|---|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|
| | | | 23 - L | ow priorit | У | | |
| | | 17 | - Lack o | f utilizatio | n or unkr | nown | |
| | | 13 - W or retire | Vrong targ ment con | get marke nmunity v | et (assiste vith limite | ed living fa ed drivers | acility) |
| 10 - Site does not meet minimum program requirements (customer site has a small parking lot and cannot accommodate the minimum 10 charging station program requirement) | | | | | | | |
| | 5 - Pai | rking ma | nagemen | t issues | | | |
| | 2 - Install | ling char | ging statio | ons throu | gh anoth | er progra | m |
| 1 (« tl | - Site do customer p hroughout | es not n preferenc their cor | neet mini ce to distr nplex) | mum prog ibute cha | gram req rging stat | uirement: tions | 5 |

Table 2.4 summarizes all account manager interactions for all segments during Q4 2016.

Table 2.4 – Summary of Account Manager Interactions with Customers

| Activity | No. Interactions Q3 2016 | Cumulative Interactions |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Emails ¹⁰ | 167 | 1,634 |
| Group Presentations | 2 | 39 |
| In-Person Visits | 145 | 544 |
| Letter | 0 | 6 |
| Positioning Event ¹¹ | 8 | 16 |
| Telephone Calls | 236 | 810 |
| Total | 558 | 3,097 |

SCE captures how applicants heard about the Pilot through the enrollment form. A majority of customers became aware of the Pilot through SCE's account managers or through the Charge Ready landing page. The source of the customer's knowledge is detailed in Table 2.5.

Table 2.5 – Customer's Source of Knowledge of Pilot



10 These are incremental, follow-up emails to the email invitations originally sent to customers at the launch of the Program.

¹¹ Presentations provided by SCE Business Customer Division Account Managers to industry or civic events.

Electric Vehicle Charging Load

2.2 Market Education & TE Advisory Services

Separately from its education and outreach efforts to support enrollment in Charge Ready, SCE also communicates about EVs and the benefits of fueling from the grid to a broad audience through a variety of complementary channels. These channels include: Paid Media: Digital banners, search engine marketing (SEM), sponsored social media ads, radio.

- Local Sponsorship: Booth sponsorship at EV-related events.
- Direct Messaging: Direct mail or email to targeted customer populations.
- Other channels: bill onserts, messaging on SCE.com, and organic social media.

To track engagement, customers exposed to the above channels are driven to relevant content on the updated sce.com EV website. The following metrics capture traffic for key campaign pages within the site:

| EV Awareness | Q3 2016 | Q4 2016 | |
|---|------------------|---------|--|
| Electric Vehicle Overvie | w Page on SCE.co | om | |
| Unique Visitor Count | 9,627 | 6,162 | |
| Repeat Visitor Count | 2,938 | 2,124 | |
| Page Views | 13,457 | 8,988 | |
| Bounce Rate | 53.88% | 33.99% | |
| Multi-page Visits | 7,146 | 5,137 | |
| Electric Vehicle Campaign Landing Page on SCE.com | | | |
| Unique Visitor Count | 2,853 | 6,524 | |
| Repeat Visitor Count | 271 | 281 | |
| Page Views | 3,578 | 7,934 | |
| Bounce Rate | 92.16% | 92.38% | |
| Multi-page Visits | 309 | 629 | |

Table 2.6 – Charge Ready EV Awareness Website Metrics

During Q4 2016, SCE continued digital banner ads, radio ads, and paid social media to support market education efforts. These marketing activities, the EV Overview Page on SCE.com, and the EV Campaign Landing Page on SCE.com included translations in English, Spanish, Korean, Chinese, and Vietnamese languages. For SCE's Market Education efforts, customer awareness of electric vehicle benefits and messaging will be tracked using SCE's Customer Attitude Tracking (CAT) survey. The CAT survey is a quarterly tool designed to assess and track attitudes, brand favorability, and awareness of relevant marketing messages among SCE customers. This telephone survey is conducted with 450 randomly-selected SCE households and 250 small businesses by an independent marketing research firm. Customers are asked to recall and rate messaging around the benefits of electric vehicles and preparing to buy or lease an electric vehicle, as well as SCE's role in supporting and advancing electric transportation. Since the campaign fully launched in late August 2016, the data collected from the Q1, Q2, and Q3 CAT surveys was used to establish a baseline around message recall. The Q4 2016 survey results showed levels of EV awareness consistent with the baseline. The customer segmentation of the Q4 survey results show over 28% of respondents to be over the age of 70; this demographic often does not respond to digital media.

Table 2.7 summarizes the CAT survey baseline data. Respondents were asked, "In the past three months, do you recall seeing, hearing, or reading about any ads about SCE and the benefits of electric vehicles?"

Table 2.7 – CAT Survey Results

| Response | Baseline (Q1-Q3 2016) | Q4 2016 |
|-------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Total Respondents | 1,354 | 450 |
| Yes | 189 | 58 |
| | 14% | 13% |
| No | 1,147 | 383 |
| | 85% | 85% |
| No Response | 18 | 9 |
| | 1% | 2% |

SCE is developing TE Advisory Services and will report on its activities in 2017.

Electric Vehicle Charging Load

2.3 Outreach Events

SCE conducted a number of outreach events in Q3 2016 to support enrollment in the Pilot or increase EV awareness. SCE employees who attend the events provide an estimate of the number of customer communications completed during the event. These outreach events are shown in Table 2.8.

Table 2.8 – Charge Ready Education & Outreach and MarketEducation & TE Advisory Services Outreach Events

Oct. 6, 2016 | Long Beach | Charge Ready Education & Outreach League of Cities: **50** estimated customer interactions.

- **Oct. 25, 2016** | Westminster | Charge Ready Education & Outreach County of Ventura: **25** estimated customer interactions.
- **Nov. 4, 2016** | Torrance | Charge Ready Education & Outreach Optima presentation: **2** estimated customer interactions.

- Nov. 8, 2016 | Rosemead | Charge Ready Education & Outreach
 Charge Ready Weekly MUD Virtual Workshop (collaboration with CalCAP):
 0 estimated customer interactions.
- Nov. 15, 2016 | Rosemead | Charge Ready Education & OutreachCharge Ready Weekly MUD Virtual Workshop (collaboration with CalCAP):0 estimated customer interactions.
- Dec. 12, 2016 | Rosemead | Charge Ready Education & Outreach
 Consumer Advisory Panel Brainstorming Session (hosted by SCE):
 50 estimated customer interactions.
- Dec. 13, 2016 | Los Angeles | Charge Ready Education & Outreach SCAG EV Charging Stations and Multi-Family Housing: Overcoming the Obstacles: 20 estimated customer interactions.



Electric Vehicle Charging Load

3.0 Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment Qualification

3.1 Requirements

The Pilot qualifies three different types of charging system profiles:

- Level 1 charging system, without network capability,
- Level 2 "A" charging system, with network capability integrated into the EVSE, and
- Level 2 "B" charging system, with network capability provided by an external device (such as a kiosk or gateway) shared among multiple stations.

Through a Request for Information (RFI) process, SCE commercially evaluates vendors and conducts technical tests on their proposed charging systems. In accordance with the terms and conditions of the RFI, qualified vendors (manufacturers, distributors) for the Pilot are required to offer Customer Participants:

- Qualified charging systems that meet SCE's technical requirements
- Networking services, including transactional data reporting and demand response (DR) services

Following three rounds of the RFI process held through 2016, SCE is currently evaluating 123 submitted charging systems.

The Pilot's Approved Package List¹² summarizes the vendors and EVSE models available to Customer Participants as of Q3 2016. The Pilot offerings decreased since Q3 2016; the Pilot currently offers 32 models from 8 vendors. The decrease in models is a result of a vendor withdrawing from the program. Tables 3.1 and 3.2 provide a summary of the different charging system types and features of EVSE models that have been approved to date.

Graph 3.1 – Number of Approved Charging System Models



Table 3.2 – EVSE Model Summary

| Average number of ports per EVSE | 1.4 |
|--|-----|
| Average number of circuits per EVSE | 1.4 |
| Average number of ports per circuit | 1 |
| Number of wall EVSE units | 6 |
| Number of pedestal units | 0 |
| Number of both wall and pedestal units | 7 |

The base cost of qualified EVSE for the Charge Ready Pilot is defined as "the best value offered for a charging station and its installation within each defined profile [of EVSE]."¹³ SCE determines a price per port for each of the qualified models and configurations. SCE then selects the lowest price per port within each charging system type (using only those EVSE models that passed SCE's technical evaluation) to determine the base costs. The base cost values as of Q4 2016 are shown in Table 3.3. The base cost values changed from the prior reporting period due to new model additions and model removals.

Table 3.3 – Base Cost of Charging Systems

| Charging System Type | Prior Base Cost | Base Cost effective 12/1/2016 |
|-------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|
| Level 1 | \$1,613 | \$1,396 |
| Level 2 "A" | \$1,636 | \$2,188 |
| Level 2 "B" | \$1,958 | \$1,611 |



12 The Pilot's Approved Package List can be found on the landing page at https://on.sce.com/chargeready.

13 Charge Ready Program Testimony, Vol. 2, p. 9.

4.0 Electric Vehicle Charging Load

4.1 EV Charging Load

After completing deployment at participating sites, SCE will collect transactional and utility-meter data to inform EV load-related metrics, greenhouse gas (GHG) metrics, and air quality metrics. Prices paid by EV drivers and pricing strategies implemented by Customer Participants will also be collected and reported in this quarterly report, if available. The Pilot will eventually incorporate a Demand Response program to address general load-shaping capabilities. The Pilot report will analyze different Customer Participants' load shape profiles, at the grid and local capacity areas, and load management strategies.

In addition to requiring that all Customer Participants take service under a time-of-use rate plan, the Pilot will also incorporate a Demand Response (DR) program for Customer Participants with Level 2 charging stations. SCE filed a DR Pilot proposal for Commission approval as part of SCE's 2018-2022 DR program application. The DR Pilot will inform the Charge Ready Demand Response program which will be identified in 2019. Additional load-management strategies, including prices paid by EV drivers and pricing strategies implemented by the Customer Participants, will also be collected and reported where available.

As of Q4 2016, no EVSEs were deployed through Charge Ready and load data is not available.

Electric Vehicle Charging Load

5.0 Operations

5.1 Charge Ready Pilot Operations

Process Overview

The Pilot's end-to-end process can be described in six stages: **Engagement, Evaluation, Confirmation, Planning and Design, Construction,** and **Verification**.

- **Engagement** begins with a customer submitting an application indicating their interest in participating in the Pilot. The application the customer submits is called the **Step 1 Notice of Intent.**
- **Evaluation** follows the application submission. SCE conducts on-site assessments to evaluate the feasibility of deploying charging stations through the Pilot.
- Confirmation of the customer's participation includes approval by the customer of the number of charging stations and deployment location at each site (as proposed by SCE). SCE reserves funding (if available) upon receipt of Step 2 – Agreement signed by the customer and property owner.
- SCE then conducts **Planning and Design** for the approved site while the Customer Participant procures qualified charging stations. At the end of the procurement period, Customer Participants must provide the required proof of purchase using **Step 3**

- Certification.

- SCE then conducts Construction for the approved site. A pre-construction meeting is held with the Customer Participant before construction begins. Once the infrastructure is completed and passes inspection, the Customer Participant's selected charging station vendor installs the charging stations.
- Finally, Verification takes place to ensure that electric infrastructure and charging systems were deployed in accordance with approved plans (using Step 4 Walk-Through Report and Step 5 Rebate Confirmation); SCE then issues the rebate.

Status Overview

For Q4 2016, a majority of applications are currently in the Confirmation stage. Forty-three projects submitted a signed Step 2 – Agreement and have started the Planning and Design stage. These customers are currently in the process of procuring their charging stations and submitting their Step 3 - Certification within the program's 30 day deadline. SCE continues to observe varied, at times lengthy, customer timelines to execute the Step 2 Agreement. SCE also observes that a majority of customers require extensions beyond the 30 day deadline to submit their Step 3 – Certification to SCE. Internal customer approval and procurement processes are the main drivers for the extended timelines. Another cause for delays is customer concerns regarding the program's requirement for a contingent easement that provides a "blanket" easement over their entire property. To eliminate their concerns, SCE now bypasses the contingent easement and only requires execution of a final easement that corresponds to the final design and location of the charging stations.

Table 5.1 summarizes the Pilot's operational metrics about customer participation in Charge Ready. The metrics in the table capture the project activity from the launch of the Pilot on May 27, 2016, to December 31, 2016. Where applicable, the distribution across market segments, as well as the total number in disadvantaged communities, is provided.

Table 5.1 – Pilot Operational Metrics for Quarter

| | Planning Assumptions | Quarter 4, 2016 | Year-to-Date Actual | Toward Goal |
|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|
| Total number of applications received | 58 sites, 1,500 charge ports | 28 sites, 127 charge ports | 334 sites, 2,043 charge ports | 576%, 136% |
| Number of approved and confirmed sites (Step 2 Agreement signed) | 58 sites, 1,500 charge ports | 37 sites, 571 charge ports | 43 sites, 687 charge ports | 74%, 46% |
| Number of applicants rejected | N/A | 32 sites 152 requested chargers | 76 sites 364 requested chargers | N/A |
| Number of applicants withdrawn | N/A | 32 sites, 253 chargers | 104 sites, 367 chargers | N/A |

Graph 5.1 – YTD Applications Received



Other Disadvantaged Communities

Graph 5.2 – YTD Charge Ports Requested



Table 5.2 – Customer Participant Request

| Customer Participant Request | Year-to-Date Actual |
|---|--|
| Average number of total parking spaces per site | 621 parking spaces/site |
| Percentage of total number of parking spaces located in parking structures | 12% |
| Average fleet size ¹⁴ | 13 (Fleet Segment Only) 25 (All Segments) |
| Percentage of applications received with charging systems already installed at the site | 15% |
| Average number of charging systems already installed at the site | 10 |
| Average number of charge ports requested per site | 7.6 |

Table 5.3 – Pilot Costs

| | Planning Assumptions | Year-to-Date Actual | Toward Goal |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|-------------|
| Total estimated Pilot costs (SCE infrastructure plus rebate) ¹⁵ | \$16,792,136 | \$9,435,238 687 charge ports | 56% |
| Average estimated cost per site (T&D + Customer infrastructure + rebate) ¹⁶ | \$291,070 (\$11,195 * 26 chargers) | Average Cost per Site: \$219,424 Average No. Charge Ports per Site: 16 | N/A |
| Average estimated cost per port (T&D + Customer infrastructure + rebate) ¹⁷ | \$11,195 | \$13,734 | 123% |
| Total amount of rebate reserved | \$5,850,000 | \$855,805 | 15% |
| Average amount of rebate reserved per site | \$101,400 (\$3,900 * 26 chargers) | \$19,902 ¹⁸ | 35% |

¹⁴ Applicants from all segment categories may indicate the number of fleet vehicles at their site (All Segments). Applicants in the fleet category intend to use the new charging station for their EV fleet (Fleet Segment Only).

¹⁵ Estimated program costs are based on applications with customer-signed Step 2 - Agreement. In past reports in 2016, the estimated Pilot costs were based on applications with completed site assessments. Actual costs will be available following charging station installation and rebate issuance.

¹⁶ Estimated program costs are based on initial site assessment. Costs are subject to customer's Step 2 Agreement.

¹⁷ Estimated program costs are based on initial site assessment. Costs are subject to customer's Step 2 Agreement.

¹⁸ Rebate levels in Pilot are expected to be less than planning assumptions due to reduced rebate levels established in the CPUC Final Decision 16-01-023.

Graph 5.3 - Rebate Reserved by Segment



Table 5.4 – Pilot Cycle Times

| Pilot Cycle Times | |
|--|------------------|
| Average time for the Customer to confirm Site Visit date | 3 business days |
| Average time for the Customer to execute Step 2 Agreement | 21 business days |
| Average time to complete Site Visit | 11 business days |
| Average time to complete Site Assessment | 23 business days |
| Average time for EVSE to be Purchased by Customer by segment ¹⁹ | 21 business days |
| Average time for Customer to execute contingent easement | 32 business days |
| Average time to complete base map | 9 business days |
| Average time to complete preliminary design | 19 business days |
| Average time from preliminary design complete to preliminary design approved by customer | 4 business days |
| Average time to complete final design | 13 business days |

Table 5.5 – Charging Station Request & Rebate

| Charging Station Request & Rebate | | | |
|--|-----------|--|--|
| Number of Level 1 charge ports requested ²⁰ | 1 | | |
| Number of Level 2 charge ports requested ²¹ | 692 | | |
| Number of total charge ports approved | 687 | | |
| Average Number of Level 1 charge ports approved per site | 1 | | |
| Average Number of Level 2 charge ports approved per site | 16 | | |
| Number of Level 1 EVSE bought | 0 | | |
| Average number of ports per Level 1 EVSE | 0 | | |
| Number of Level 2A EVSE bought | 125 | | |
| Average number of ports per Level 2A EVSE | 1.7 | | |
| Number of Level 2B EVSE bought | 123 | | |
| Average number of ports per Level 2B EVSE | 1.1 | | |
| Rebate amount reserved for Level 1 ports | \$0 | | |
| Rebate amount reserved for Level 2A ports | \$350,104 | | |
| Rebate amount reserved for Level 2B ports | \$270,204 | | |

²⁰ In the Step 2 Agreement, the applicant indicates the requested number of Level 1 EVSE to be approved and installed under the Program. The number of installed Level 1 EVSE must match the number of Level 1 EVSE requested in Step 2 Agreement.

²¹ In the Step 2 Agreement, the applicant indicates the requested number of Level 2 EVSE to be approved and installed under the Program. The number of installed Level 2 EVSE must match the number of Level 2 EVSE requested in Step 2 Agreement.

Electric Vehicle Charging Load

5.2 Supplier Diversity

The architecture and engineering firm and general contractors selected for Charge Ready are 100% diverse business enterprises (DBEs).

5.3 Collaboration Efforts with Complementary EV Programs

SCE is engaging with federal, state, and local government agencies to identify collaboration opportunities in connection with Charge Ready. The table below describes events conducted in Q4 2016.

Table 5.6 – Charge Ready Collaboration Efforts with Complimentary EV Programs

Oct. 6, 2016 | Long Beach

League of Cities: 50 estimated customer interactions.

Dec. 13, 2016 | Los Angeles SCAG EV Charging Stations and Multi-Family Housing: Overcoming the Obstacles: 20 estimated customer interactions.

5.4 Disadvantaged Communities Outreach Events

SCE's outreach events for Disadvantaged Communities in Q4 2016 are summarized in the table 5.7. SCE employees who attend the events provide an estimate of the number of completed communications with a customer in a disadvantaged community during the event.

In December 2016, SCE conducted the first of their Consumer Advisory Panel sessions. The purpose of this high level concept plan is to design, manage, and facilitate "listening" sessions with key stakeholders about the barriers disadvantaged communities face in acquiring electric vehicles. These "listening" sessions allow SCE to learn about potential barriers and opportunities to develop and design a future Phase 2 program that disadvantaged communities can fully support and adopt throughout SCE's service area. Please access the report from the first Consumer Advisory Panel session **here**.

Table 5.7 – Disadvantaged Community Outreach Events

Dec. 2, 2016 | Rosemead

Consumer Advisory Panel Brainstorming Session (hosted by SCE): **50** estimated customer interactions.

6.0 Conclusion

6.1 Conclusion

In this quarterly report, SCE provided data and updates on progress in implementing and executing the Charge Ready and Market Education Pilot, including the challenges we encountered and the solutions we are developing to mitigate them.

During the Planning and Design stage, SCE learned of program barriers to customer participation and made adjustments to program requirements. To better serve our customers, adjustments were made to the maximum number of ports authorized for disadvantaged communities, easement requirements, and program form instructions. In the next quarter, SCE will learn from the first projects designed and constructed through the Pilot.



Appendix

Appendix

Pilot Operational Metrics for Quarter

Total number of applications received

| | Planning Assumptions | Quarter 4, 2016 | Year-to-Date Actual | Toward Goal |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|
| | 58 sites, 1,500 charge ports | 28 sites, 127 charge ports | 334 sites, 2,043 charge ports | 576%, 136% |
| Disadvantaged Communities | N/A | 24% | 46% | N/A |
| Destination Centers | N/A | 21% | 24% | N/A |
| Workplaces | N/A | 48% | 65% | N/A |
| Fleet | N/A | 7% | 5% | N/A |
| Multi-Unit Dwellings | N/A | 24% | 6% | N/A |

Percentage of charging stations requested

| | Planning Assumptions | Quarter 4, 2016 | Year-to-Date Actual | Toward Goal |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|
| | 58 sites, 1,500 charge ports | 28 sites, 127 charge ports | 334 sites, 2,043 charge ports | 576%, 136% |
| Disadvantaged Communities | 10% | 18% | 37% | 368% |
| Destination Centers | N/A | 14% | 27% | N/A |
| Workplaces | N/A | 32% | 59% | N/A |
| Fleet | N/A | 32% | 8% | N/A |
| Multi-Unit Dwellings | N/A | 21% | 7% | N/A |

Number of approved and confirmed sites (Step 2 Agreement signed)

| | Planning Assumptions | Quarter 4, 2016 | Year-to-Date Actual | Toward Goal |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|
| | 58 sites, 1,500 charge ports | 37 sites, 571 charge ports | 43 sites, 687 charge ports | 74%, 46% |
| Disadvantaged Communities | N/A | 12 sites, 88 chargers | 17 sites, 194 chargers | N/A |
| Destination Centers | N/A | 14 sites, 173 chargers | 17 sites, 194 chargers | N/A |
| Workplaces | N/A | 20 site, 365 chargers | 21 sites, 445 chargers | N/A |
| Fleet | N/A | 1 site, 10 chargers | 3 sites, 25 chargers | N/A |
| Multi-Unit Dwellings | N/A | 2 sites, 23 chargers | 2 sites, 23 chargers | N/A |

Number of applicants rejected

| | Quarter 4, 2016 | Year-to-Date Actual |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | 32 sites 152 requested chargers | 76 sites 364 requested chargers |
| Disadvantaged Communities | 34% | 39% |
| Destination Centers | 27% | 28% |
| Workplaces | 68% | 66% |
| Fleet | 0% | 1% |
| Multi-Unit Dwellings | 5% | 5% |

Number of applicants withdrawn

| | Quarter 4, 2016 | Year-to-Date Actual |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| | 32 sites, 253 chargers | 104 sites, 367 chargers |
| Disadvantaged Communities | 56% | 47% |
| Destination Centers | 9% | 18% |
| Workplaces | 85% | 71% |
| Fleet | 3% | 4% |
| Multi-Unit Dwellings | 3% | 7% |

Number of applicants withdrawn after signing Step 2 - Agreement

| | Quarter 4, 2016 | Year-to-Date Actual |
|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| | 0% | 0% |
| Disadvantaged Communities | 0% | 0% |
| Destination Centers | 0% | 0% |
| Workplaces | 0% | 0% |
| Fleet | 0% | 0% |
| Multi-Unit Dwellings | 0% | 0% |

Total number of charge ports installed

| | Quarter 4, 2016 | Year-to-Date Actual |
|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| | Available once charg | gers deployed |
| Disadvantaged Communities | Available once charg | gers deployed |
| Destination Centers | Available once charg | gers deployed |
| Workplaces | Available once charg | gers deployed |
| Fleet | Available once charg | gers deployed |
| Multi-Unit Dwellings | Available once charg | gers deployed |

Average number of charge ports installed per site

| | Quarter 4, 2016 | Year-to-Date Actual |
|---------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| | Available once cha | argers deployed |
| Disadvantaged Communities | Available once cha | rgers deployed |
| Destination Centers | Available once cha | rgers deployed |
| Workplaces | Available once cha | rgers deployed |
| Fleet | Available once cha | rgers deployed |
| Multi-Unit Dwellings | Available once cha | rgers deployed |

Total number of completed projects

| | Planning Assumptions | Quarter 4, 2016 | Year-to-Date Actual |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| | 58 sites, 1,500 chargers | Available once | chargers deployed |
| Disadvantaged Communities | N/A | Available once | chargers deployed |
| Destination Centers | N/A | Available once | chargers deployed |
| Workplaces | N/A | Available once | chargers deployed |
| Fleet | N/A | Available once | chargers deployed |
| Multi-Unit Dwellings | N/A | Available once | chargers deployed |

Average number of total parking spaces per site

| Customer Participant Request | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | 621 parking spaces/site | |
| Disadvantaged Communities | 376 parking spaces/site | |
| Destination Centers | 932 parking spaces/site | |
| Workplaces | 523 parking spaces/site | |
| Fleet | 404 parking spaces/site | |
| Multi-Unit Dwellings | 643 parking spaces/site | |

Percentage of total number of parking spaces located in parking structures

| Customer Participant Request | | |
|------------------------------|--------|--|
| | 12% | |
| Disadvantaged Communities | 1,040 | |
| Destination Centers | 12,100 | |
| Workplaces | 43,982 | |
| Fleet | 3,764 | |
| Multi-Unit Dwellings | 3,134 | |

Average fleet size²²

| Customer Participant Request | | |
|---|--|--|
| | 13 (Fleet Segment Only) 25 (All Segments) | |
| Percentage of applications received with charging systems already installed at the site | 15% | |
| Average number of charging systems already installed at the site | 10 | |

Average number of charge ports requested per site

| Customer Participant Request | | |
|------------------------------|------|--|
| All sites | 7.6 | |
| Disadvantaged Communities | 8.3 | |
| Destination Centers | 9.2 | |
| Workplaces | 9.8 | |
| Fleet | 13.1 | |
| Multi-Unit Dwellings | 8.1 | |

Pilot Costs

| Pilot Costs | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|-----|
| Total estimated Pilot costs (SCE infrastructure plus rebate paid) ²³ | \$16,792,136 | \$9,435,238 687 charge ports | 56% |
| Average estimated cost per site (T&D + Customer infrastructure + rebate) ²⁴ | \$291,070 (\$11,195 * 26 chargers) | Average Cost per Site: \$219,424 Average No. Charge Ports per Site: 16 | N/A |
| Average estimated cost per port (T&D + Customer infrastructure + rebate) ²⁵ | \$11,195 | \$13,734 | N/A |

²² Applicants from all segment categories may indicate the number of fleet vehicles at their site (All Segments). Applicants in the fleet category intend to use the new charging station for their EV fleet (Fleet Segment Only).

²³ Estimated program costs are based on initial site assessment. Costs are subject to customer's Step 2 Agreement.

²⁴ Estimated program costs are based on initial site assessment. Costs are subject to customer's Step 2 Agreement.

²⁵ Estimated program costs are based on initial site assessment. Costs are subject to customer's Step 2 Agreement.

Total estimated Pilot costs

| Pilot Costs | | |
|---------------------------|-------------|--|
| Disadvantaged Communities | \$5,175,891 | |
| Destination Centers | \$3,014,766 | |
| Workplaces | \$5,687,938 | |
| Fleet | \$413,057 | |
| Multi-Unit Dwellings | \$319,477 | |

Total amount of rebate reserved

| Pilot Costs | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|-----------|------|
| | \$5,850,000 | \$855,805 | 3.6% |
| Average amount of rebate reserved per site | \$101,400 (\$3,900 * 26 chargers) | \$19,902 | N/A |
| Disadvantaged Communities | N/A | \$677,468 | N/A |
| Destination Centers | N/A | \$175,800 | N/A |
| Workplaces | N/A | \$623,218 | N/A |
| Fleet | N/A | \$34,270 | N/A |
| Multi-Unit Dwellings | N/A | \$22,517 | N/A |

Total amount of rebate paid

| Pilot Costs | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|--|
| | \$5,850,000 | Available once chargers deployed | |
| Disadvantaged Communities | N/A | Available once chargers deployed | |
| Destination Centers | N/A | Available once chargers deployed | |
| Workplaces | N/A | Available once chargers deployed | |
| Fleet | N/A | Available once chargers deployed | |
| Multi-Unit Dwellings | N/A | Available once chargers deployed | |

Average amount of reb paid per site

Electric Vehicle Charging Load

Operations

| Pilot Costs | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | \$101,400 (\$3,900 * 26 chargers) | Available once chargers deployed |
| Disadvantaged Communities | N/A | Available once chargers deployed |
| Destination Centers | N/A | Available once chargers deployed |
| Workplaces | N/A | Available once chargers deployed |
| Fleet | N/A | Available once chargers deployed |
| Multi-Unit Dwellings | N/A | Available once chargers deployed |

Total actual construction costs for SCE infrastructure

| Pilot Costs | | |
|---------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|
| | \$10,942,136 | Available once chargers deployed |
| Disadvantaged Communities | N/A | Available once chargers deployed |
| Destination Centers | N/A | Available once chargers deployed |
| Workplaces | N/A | Available once chargers deployed |
| Fleet | N/A | Available once chargers deployed |
| Multi-Unit Dwellings | N/A | Available once chargers deployed |

Average actual construction cost for SCE infrastructure per site

| Pilot Costs | | |
|---|---------|----------------------------------|
| | \$7,295 | Available once chargers deployed |
| Level 1 charging systems | N/A | Available once chargers deployed |
| Level 2 charging systems | N/A | Available once chargers deployed |
| Hybrid charging systems (both Level 1 and Level 2) | N/A | Available once chargers deployed |
| Total actual SCE construction cost incurred by withdrawn applicants | N/A | Available once chargers deployed |
| Average actual SCE construction cost incurred by withdrawn applicants | N/A | Available once chargers deployed |



Electric Vehicle Charging Load

Operations

| Pilot Cycle Times | | |
|--|--|--|
| Average Customer "End to End" Cycle time by segment | Available once chargers deployed | |
| Minimum Customer "End to End" Cycle time by segment | Available once chargers deployed | |
| Maximum Customer "End to End" Cycle time by segment | Available once chargers deployed | |
| % of customer under/above average cycle time by segment | Available once chargers deployed | |
| % of customer under/above target cycle time by segment | Available once chargers deployed | |
| Average time for EVSE to be Purchased by Customer by segment ²⁶ | 21 business days | |
| Average time for the Customer to execute Step 2 Agreement | 21 business days | |
| Average time for the Customer to confirm Site Visit date | 3 business days | |
| Average time to complete Site Visit | 11 business days | |
| Average time to complete Site Assessment | 23 business days | |
| Average time from EVSEs purchased by Customer to chargers installed ²⁷ | Available once construction completed | |
| Average time for T&D to complete base map | 9 business days | |
| Average time to complete T&D preliminary design | 19 business days | |
| Average time from preliminary design complete to preliminary design approved by customer | 4 business days | |
| Average time for Customer to execute contingent easement | 32 business days | |
| Average time for Customer to execute final easement | Available once final easements completed | |
| Average time to complete T&D final design | 13 business days | |
| Average time to complete utility-infrastructure permits | Available once permits issued | |
| Average time to complete customer-infrastructure permits | Available once permits issued | |
| Average time to complete infrastructure construction | Available once construction completed | |
| Average time for General Contractor to complete civil & electrical to energize date | Available once construction completed | |
| Average time for Authority Having Jurisdiction to complete final inspection for customer-side infrastructure | Available once inspections completed | |
| Average time for "Final Job Site Walk to Rebate Check Issued" | Available once rebates issued | |

Time from applicant completing Step 2 Agreement form to completing Step 3 Certification form.
 Time from Step 3 Certification form completion to chargers installed by vendors.

Charging Station Request & Rebate

| Charging Station Request & Rebate | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--|
| Number of Level 1 charge ports requested ²⁸ | 0 | |
| Number of Level 2 charge ports requested ²⁹ | 692 | |
| Number of total charge ports approved | 687 | |
| Average Number of Level 1 charge ports approved per site | 1 | |
| Average Number of Level 2 charge ports approved per site | 16 | |
| Number of Level 1 EVSE bought | 0 | |
| Average number of ports per Level 1 EVSE | 0 | |
| Number of Level 2A EVSE bought | 125 | |
| Average number of ports per Level 2A EVSE | 1.7 | |
| Number of Level 2B EVSE bought | 123 | |
| Average number of ports per Level 2B EVSE | 1.1 | |
| Number of Level 1 EVSE installed | Available once chargers deployed | |
| Number of Level 2A EVSE installed | Available once chargers deployed | |
| Number of Level 2B EVSE installed | Available once chargers deployed | |
| Rebate amount reserved for Level 1 ports | \$0 | |
| Rebate amount reserved for Level 2A ports | \$350,104 | |
| Rebate amount reserved for Level 2B ports | \$270,204 | |
| Rebate amount paid for Level 1 ports | Available once chargers deployed | |
| Rebate amount paid for Level 2A ports | Available once chargers deployed | |
| Rebate amount paid for Level 2B ports | Available once chargers deployed | |

¹²⁸ In the Step 2 Agreement, the applicant indicates the requested number of Level 1 EVSE to be approved and installed under the Program. The number of installed Level 1 EVSE must match the number of Level 1 EVSE requested in Step 2 Agreement.

²⁹ In the Step 2 Agreement, the applicant indicates the requested number of Level 2 EVSE to be approved and installed under the Program. The number of installed Level 2 EVSE must match the number of Level 2 EVSE requested in Step 2 Agreement.